ADDITIONAL PARAMETERS IN TWO-PHASE CLOSED THERMOSYPHONS: EFFECTS OF TUBE DIAMETER AND WALL THICKNESS

B. CLEMENTS and Y. LEE

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, K1N 6N5, Canada

(Received 11 November 1980 and in revised form 24 March 1981)

NOMENCLATURE

- d, diameter of tube, inside;
- L, length of tube;
- L^+ , length ratio, L_h/L_c ;
- Pr, Prandtl number, flowstreams;
- *Re*, Reynolds number, flowstreams;
- Re^* , Reynolds number ratio, Re_h/Re_c ;
- $T_{\rm temperature}$;
- t, wall thickness;
- U, overall heat transfer coefficient of an exchanger.

Subscripts

- c, cold stream; condenser side;
- h, hot stream; evaporator side;
- in, inlet;
- r, reference.

THE USE of heat pipes and two-phase closed thermosyphons in a heat recovery system in many industrial practices is extremely attractive because it allows for the transfer of a large amount of heat through relatively small surface areas without moving parts. An example of its application is to keep permafrost frozen as a structural foundation in cold regions such as used in Alaska pipelines [1].

In previous studies [2, 3], we have studied, both analyti-

cally and experimentally, the effects of various parameters, such as Reynolds and Prandtl numbers of both hot and cold fluid streams, heated to cooled length ratio, Reynolds number ratio, etc. on the performance of a heat exchanger using twophase closed thermosyphons with no outer fins (called here 'bare') as the heat transfer elements. The effects of the tube diameter and wall thickness on the overall heat transfer coefficient of a 'bare' thermosyphon, however, were never investigated.

The local boiling and condensing coefficients of a 'bare' thermosyphon were studied experimentally by Larkin [4]. While Larkin's condensing coefficient seemed to be affected by the tube diameter, the boiling heat transfer coefficient seemed to be affected less. Japiske in his extensive review [5] concluded that no systematic study based on L/d and d with regard to the thermosyphon was available in the literature.

Recently, the authors developed an extensive computer program to determine the characteristics of heat exchangers using either 'bare' or 'finned' two-phase closed thermosyphons [6]. The analysis used in the program is based on the thermal resistance network that is already reported in [3], but has been modified to accommodate the 'finned' thermosyphons.

Keeping the ratio of L/d constant, the value of the tube diameter was changed to see the effect of the diameter for the conditions described in Fig. 1. The results as shown in Fig. 1



FIG. 1. Effect of diameter.

little effect. It is concluded that the effect of the tube diameter on the performance of heat exchangers using 'bare' two-phase closed thermosyphons as heat transfer elements is very significant, especially when the tube diameter is less than about 8 cm.

REFERENCES

1. Cryo-anchor Stabilizers, McDonnel-Douglas Astro-

nautics Co., Washington (1975).

- 2. Y. Lee and U. Mital, A two-phased closed thermosyphon, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 15, 1965-1707 (1972).
- 3. Y. Lee and A. Bedrossian, The characteristics of heat exchangers using heat pipes or thermosyphons, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 21, 221-229 (1978).
- 4. B. S. Larkin, An experimental study of the two-phase thermosyphon tube, CSME Trans. 14, 208-215 (1971).
- 5. D. Japiske, Advances in thermosyphon Technology, in Advances in Heat Transfer, Vol. 9, pp. 2-111. Academic Press, New York (1973).
- 6. Y. Lee, Study of waste heat recovery systems using finned heat pipes or two-phase closed thermosyphons, final report, DSS Research Contract, No. OSU78-00325, Ottawa, Canada (1979).